make the creation of conduit inflow technically easier, minimally time-consuming, and highly reproducible, and minimize the risk of several potential ventriculotomy-related complications, which augments an inherent advantage of the RV-PA conduit for Norwood stage I palliation.
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Papillary heads “optimization” in repairing functional mitral regurgitation

Masashi Komeda, MD, PhD, Yutaka Koyama, Shunsuke Fukaya, and Hideki Kitamura, Nagoya, Japan

During surgery for functional mitral regurgitation (FMR), tethering of the posterior mitral leaflet (PML) is a major cause of residual or recurrent FMR, especially after aggressive annuloplasty. We have previously described the concept of a novel repair technique and echocardiographic method. We report on the techniques and clinical outcomes.

METHODS
A total of 16 patients (mean age, 67.8 ± 0.9 years; 12 men, 6 with ischemic cardiomyopathy and 10 with nonischemic cardiomyopathy)

FIGURE 3. Follow-up computed tomography angiocardio gram in a patient 10 months after surgery showing the widely open proximal anastomosis and no ventricular pseudoaneurysm formation. A, Multidetector volume-rendering image (front view). B, Multiplanar reconstruction image of the conduit lumen. EC, Extracardiac portion of the conduit; IM, intramural portion of the conduit; RV, right ventricle.
had undergone surgery for FMR to alleviate heart failure in the previous 24 months. All the patients underwent standard cardiopulmonary bypass.

For each papillary muscle, the papillary heads that support the PML (posterior head) were approximated to the head that supports the anterior mitral leaflet (AML; anterior head) using pledgeted polytetrafluoroethylene sutures after placing annuloplasty sutures for better exposure (Figure 1, A). Next, the suture arms were passed through the midanterior mitral annulus using a large needle (Figure 1, B).

After mitral annuloplasty using a semirigid ring with the AML size, the sutures were passed through the ring and tied after length adjustment by pulling the sutures until the approximated papillary heads came 4 to 8 mm closer to the annulus (Figure 1, B).

The concomitant procedures included coronary artery bypass grafting in 5 patients, aortic valve replacement in 5, tricuspid annuloplasty in 3, and the Cox-Maze procedure in 3 patients.

RESULTS

No hospital/late deaths or major complications occurred. The New York Heart Association functional class improved from 3.8 ± 0.4 preoperatively to 1.6 ± 0.5 at 1 month postoperatively (P < .001 vs preoperatively).

The hemodynamic results and left ventricular function/dimension are listed in the Table 1. Postoperatively, FMR, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, and AML tethering improved, and PML one did not aggravate. There was no finding of mitral stenosis.

The hemodynamic and clinical benefits were well maintained at the last follow-up visit. In the 7 patients with more than 12 months of follow-up, the New York Heart Association class was 1.6 ± 0.5, mitral regurgitation grade was 1.1 ± 0.9, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction was 56 ± 9 mm, and left ventricular ejection fraction was 38% ± 17%.

DISCUSSION

During surgery for FMR, PML tethering remains an unresolved issue. To our knowledge, the “chordal translocation” method, in which the anterior head of each papillary muscle was resuspended to the midanterior annulus using polytetrafluoroethylene sutures is the only method reported to alleviate PML tethering. However, its efficacy has been limited.

The “sandwich method,” as reported by Ishikawa and colleagues, in which the anterior and posterior heads of each papillary muscle are simply approximated, motivated us to perform a pilot study using echocardiography and computed tomography. That study revealed that the AML and PML heads separated during systole in patients with FMR but they came closer during systole in healthy people.

Thus, we added the sandwich method to the chordal translocation to alleviate PML tethering more efficiently (“papillary head optimization”). Using this method, tethering of the AML was alleviated well and that of the PML tended to improve. The benefit for the PML might be more obvious when we consider that PML tethering becomes worse after simple annuloplasty. However, if the preoperative left ventricular dysfunction is too extensive, the benefit of the surgery might be limited.

CONCLUSIONS

Papillary head optimization might help to alleviate posterior leaflet tethering and mitral regurgitation.

The authors express appreciation to Professor Kiyoshi Yoshida, MD, PhD, and Kikuko Obase, MD, PhD (Department of Cardiology, Kawasaki University Hospital) and Ms. Hiroko Ito, MT (Nagoya Heart Center) for their echocardiographic evaluation.
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Sutureless technique for recurrent pulmonary vein stenosis after pericardial patchplasty in an adult

Masanori Hirota, MD, PhD, Joji Hoshino, MD, Yasuhisa Fukada, MD, and Tadashi Isomura, MD, PhD, Kanagawa, Japan

Although percutaneous balloon dilation is recommended for patients with pulmonary vein (PV) stenosis induced by catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation, surgical correction would be indicated for patients with stenosis refractory to interventional therapy. For such patients, conventional pericardial patchplasty for PV stenosis has been performed, resulting in recurrent stenosis requiring reoperation. A successful case of postoperative PV stenosis repaired using a sutureless technique in an adult is reported.

**CASE REPORT**

A 41-year-old man developed from recurrent PV stenosis induced by frequent catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. Three-dimensional computed tomography showed restenosis of the bare metal stent in the left upper PV, complete occlusion of the left lower PV (LLPV), severe stenosis of the right upper PV, and mild stenosis of the right lower PV (Figure 1, A).

Under cardiopulmonary arrest, the left side of the left atrium was opened, and the bilateral PVs were inspected. The intima had grown extensively over the stent, covering the orifice of the LLPV. After removal of the stent, the left upper PV, LLPV, and right upper PV were reconstructed using patchplasty with autologous pericardium treated with 0.6% glutaraldehyde. Postoperative 3-dimensional computed tomography demonstrated a successful outcome with wide opening of the bilateral PVs (Figure 1, B).

At 5 months after the initial operation, restenosis of 3 repaired PVs was confirmed by 3-dimensional computed tomography. Reoperation was performed for recurrence.

---

**TABLE 1. LV dimension/function and leaflets geometry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Preoperatively</th>
<th>Postoperatively</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR (grade)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVDd (mm)</td>
<td>63.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td>58.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>&lt;.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>25.1 ± 6.9</td>
<td>29.8 ± 10.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenting area (cm²)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML angle (°), *1</td>
<td>42.9 ± 8.5</td>
<td>26.3 ± 9.2</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PML angle (°), *2</td>
<td>65.2 ± 11.2</td>
<td>50.2 ± 26.2</td>
<td>NS (.088)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>51.4 ± 19.6</td>
<td>35.0 ± 10.1</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-LV PG (mm Hg)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Postoperatively, posterior leaflet tethering tended to be ameliorated, just as was the anterior leaflet tethering. AML, Anterior mitral leaflet; PML, posterior mitral leaflet; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricular; LVDd, left ventricular diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MR, mitral regurgitation; NS, not significant; PA, pulmonary artery; PG, pressure gradient.

---
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